APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/640,985	08/14/2003	Rengaswamy Srinivasan	1879-SPL	9221

26085   7590   05/16/2008
THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY APPLIED PHYSICS LABORA
OFFICE OF PATENT COUNSEL
11100 JOHNS HOPKINS ROAD
MAIL STOP 7-156
LAUREL, MD 20723-6099

EXAMINER
NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	**Application No.**	**Applicant(s)**
	10/640,985	SRINIVASAN ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1795	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _abandoned_

(1) _ALEX NOGUEROLA_.   (3) _____.

(2) _Frank Cooch_.   (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _9 May 2008_   **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description: ____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The instant application has been abandoned.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)